## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

JAMES	W MEEKS,	§	
		§	
	Petitioner,	§	
VS.		§	CIVIL NO. 2:16-CV-376
		§	
LORIE	DAVIS,	§	
		§	
	Respondent.	§	

## **ORDER**

Petitioner James Meeks ("Meeks"), an inmate in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice – Correctional Institutions Division currently incarcerated at the Garza East Unit in Beeville, Texas, has filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 alleging that his right to due process was violated in a prison disciplinary hearing which resulted in the imposition of punishment. Dkt. No. 1. The Court has before it Respondent's motion for summary judgment, Dkt. No. 9, and the Memorandum and Recommendations of the magistrate judge to whom this case was referred pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), Dkt. No. 11 ("M&R"). The deadline to file objections to the magistrate judge's proposed findings and recommendations has passed, and the docket sheet shows that no objections have been filed. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (setting 14-day deadline to file objections); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2) (same); see also M&R at 15 (advising parties of 14-day deadline).

After independently reviewing the record and considering the applicable law, the Court adopts the magistrate judge's proposed findings and recommendations; **GRANTS** Respondent's motion for summary judgment, Dkt. No. 9; **DISMISSES** any challenge to the denial of parole Meeks asserts in his petition for a writ of habeas corpus, Dkt. No. 1; **DENIES** Meeks' cause of action based on the result of the disciplinary hearing raised in this petition, Dkt. No. 1; and **DENIES** Petitioner

1 / 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Petitioner did not respond to this motion.

a certificate of appealability. The Clerk shall close this case after entering the accompanying judgment.

It is so ORDERED.

SIGNED this 8th day of August, 2017.

Hilda Tagle

Senior United States District Judge